

November 21, 2025

Michael G. Seidel Section Chief Record/Information Dissemination Section Federal Bureau of Investigation 200 Constitution Drive Winchester, VA 22602

#### Dear FOIA Officer:

Implicit in Article I of the Constitution is Congress's power of inquiry, which is an essential component of Congress's legislative and oversight functions. Concurrent with inquiries we have made to the Department of Justice pursuant to our Article I oversight authority, which have not yet received a response, we are submitting a parallel expedited request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and 28 C.F.R. Part 16, for the following records.

# **Requested Records**

Federal anti-money laundering suspicious activity reports are filed with the Treasury Department and available to the Department of Justice. Senate Finance Committee staff review of Treasury documents showed more than 4,725 wire transfers totaling \$1.08 billion involving Jeffrey Epstein and his associates between 2003 and 2019.

- 1. All records reflecting a request from a Justice Department or FBI employee to obtain suspicious activity reports as part of an investigation involving Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell.
- 2. All communications between FinCEN employees and Justice Department or FBI employees regarding any request to obtain suspicious activity reports as part of an investigation involving Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell. Your office is in the best position to identify where responsive records are likely to reside, but at a minimum, a reasonable search for responsive records should include:
  - a. The Department of Justice's Criminal Division;
  - b. Office of the Attorney General;
  - c. Office of the Deputy Attorney General;
  - d. Office of the Associate Attorney General;
  - e. Executive Office for United States Attorneys; and
  - f. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Washington Field Office.
- 3. All records reflecting the number of suspicious activity reports produced to the Department of Justice as part of an investigation into Jeffrey Epstein.

# Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k), a waiver of fees associated with processing this request is appropriate. Disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it (i) would shed light on the operations or activities of the government; (ii) would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of government operations and activities; and (iii) is necessary to carry out Congress's Article I oversight functions, as opposed to for a commercial purpose.

First, disclosure of the requested records would shed light on whether the Department of Justice and FBI took appropriate steps to investigate suspicious activity reports involving Jeffrey Epstein, a convicted sex offender who was also accused of sex trafficking young women and girls. See, e.g., Hubbard et al., Attorney General Pam Bondi faces questions on DOJ probes, Epstein files at Senate hearing, CBS News (Oct. 7, 2025), https://www.cbsnews.com/live-updates/pam-bondi-hearing-senate-judiciary-committeeattorney-general/; Blaise Malley, Sen. Wyden presses DOJ to release Epstein bank reports to Congress, Salon (July 17, 2025), https://www.salon.com/2025/07/17/sen-wyden-presses-doj-to-release-epsteinbank-reports-to-congress/. Recent reporting and Senate Finance Committee review show that thousands of financial transactions involving Jeffrey Epstein and his associates occurred, and several financial institutions filed suspicious activity reports involving Epstein. See, e.g., Letter from Senator Ron Wyden to Treasury Secretary Scott Bessent (Sept. 2, 2025), https://www.finance.senate.gov/ download/letter-from-senator-wyden-to-secretary-bessent-on-epstein-documentspdf; Benoit et al., JPMorgan Flagged Epstein Suspicions in 2002, Years Earlier Than Known, Wall Street Journal (Oct. 31, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/finance/banking/jpmorgan-flagged-jeffrey-epstein-suspicions-in-2002littlechanged-39f42fd9?reflink=desktopwebshare permalink. Those suspicious activity reports are available to the Justice Department and FBI for review and investigation. Congress and the public have a strong interest in knowing whether the Justice Department or FBI requested those reports as part of their investigation, and if not, why not.

Second, disclosure of the requested records would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of whether the government has taken all appropriate and necessary steps in the investigation of Jeffrey Epstein and his associates. This information is not already in the public domain. Upon receipt of these records, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms, which will enhance the public's understanding of the government's activities.

Third, the request is wholly for non-commercial purposes. Our Senate offices operate as part of the federal government. We seek the requested information not for commercial use, but to aid in congressional oversight, good government, and transparency for the American people.

### **Expedited Processing Request**

Second, it is urgent that the public get answers about the true extent of the federal investigation into Epstein's crimes. Upon receipt of these records, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms.

Third, there is widespread and exceptional media interest in this matter, which, as explained above, raises questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence. *See, e.g.*, Emine Sinmaz, *House Democrat accuses Trump's DoJ of 'gigantic cover-up' over shut Epstein inquiry*, The Guardian (Nov. 4, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/nov/04/jamie-raskin-justice-department-epstein-investigation; Elena Moore, *House Oversight Committee subpoenas the Justice Department for Epstein files*, NPR (Aug. 5, 2025), https://www.npr.org/2025/08/05/nx-s1-5493371/house-oversight-committee-epstein-subpoenas-justice-department.

# **Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records**

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting the search, please construe the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, including any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio or video material of any kind. Any request for "records" includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. Any request for "communications" includes, but is not limited to, emails, letters, memoranda, calendar invitations, text messages, direct messages, and attachments thereto. To the extent that an email is responsive to a request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.

FOIA requires agencies to disclose records to Congress that may otherwise be withheld from the public. 5 U.S.C. § 552(d). If it is your position that any of the requested documents are nonetheless exempted from disclosure requirements, please provide a *Vaughn* index as required by *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F. 2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973); *see also Roth v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 642 F. 3d 1161, 1185 (D.C. Cir. 2011) ("Under our case law, agencies invoking a FOIA exemption must provide a specific, detailed explanation of why the exemption applies to the withheld materials."). FOIA also requires agencies to release "any reasonably segregable portions" of documents that may be partially exempt and to prepare "an index relating any withheld portions to specific FOIA exemptions." *Lykins v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 725 F.2d 1455, 1466 (D.C. Cir. 1984).

We look forward to working with the Department of Justice to ensure the timely and exhaustive disclosure of all non-exempt records responsive to this request. If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production, please do not hesitate to contact us. If the request for a fee waiver is denied in part or in full, please contact our offices immediately. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Whitehouse United States Senator

Ranking Member,

Subcommittee on Federal

Courts, Oversight, Agency

Action, and Federal Rights

Adam B. Schiff

United States Senator

Richard Blumenthal

United States Senator



November 21, 2025

Christina Butler Chief, FOIA/PA Unit Criminal Division Department of Justice 950 Constitution Ave, NW Washington, DC 20530

### Dear FOIA Officer:

Implicit in Article I of the Constitution is Congress's power of inquiry, which is an essential component of Congress's legislative and oversight functions. Concurrent with inquiries we have made to the Department of Justice pursuant to our Article I oversight authority, which have not yet received a response, we are submitting a parallel expedited request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and 28 C.F.R. Part 16, for the following records.

# **Requested Records**

Federal anti-money laundering suspicious activity reports are filed with the Treasury Department and available to the Department of Justice. Senate Finance Committee staff review of Treasury documents showed more than 4,725 wire transfers totaling \$1.08 billion involving Jeffrey Epstein and his associates between 2003 and 2019.

- 1. All records reflecting a request from a Justice Department or FBI employee to obtain suspicious activity reports as part of an investigation involving Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell.
- 2. All communications between FinCEN employees and Justice Department or FBI employees regarding any request to obtain suspicious activity reports as part of an investigation involving Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell. Your office is in the best position to identify where responsive records are likely to reside, but at a minimum, a reasonable search for responsive records should include:
  - a. The Department of Justice's Criminal Division;
  - b. Office of the Attorney General;
  - c. Office of the Deputy Attorney General;
  - d. Office of the Associate Attorney General;
  - e. Executive Office for United States Attorneys; and
  - f. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Washington Field Office.
- 3. All records reflecting the number of suspicious activity reports produced to the Department of Justice as part of an investigation into Jeffrey Epstein.

# Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k), a waiver of fees associated with processing this request is appropriate. Disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it (i) would shed light on the operations or activities of the government; (ii) would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of government operations and activities; and (iii) is necessary to carry out Congress's Article I oversight functions, as opposed to for a commercial purpose.

First, disclosure of the requested records would shed light on whether the Department of Justice and FBI took appropriate steps to investigate suspicious activity reports involving Jeffrey Epstein, a convicted sex offender who was also accused of sex trafficking young women and girls. See, e.g., Hubbard et al., Attorney General Pam Bondi faces questions on DOJ probes, Epstein files at Senate hearing, CBS News (Oct. 7, 2025), https://www.cbsnews.com/live-updates/pam-bondi-hearing-senate-judiciary-committeeattorney-general/; Blaise Malley, Sen. Wyden presses DOJ to release Epstein bank reports to Congress, Salon (July 17, 2025), https://www.salon.com/2025/07/17/sen-wyden-presses-doj-to-release-epsteinbank-reports-to-congress/. Recent reporting and Senate Finance Committee review show that thousands of financial transactions involving Jeffrey Epstein and his associates occurred, and several financial institutions filed suspicious activity reports involving Epstein. See, e.g., Letter from Senator Ron Wyden to Treasury Secretary Scott Bessent (Sept. 2, 2025), https://www.finance.senate.gov/ download/letter-from-senator-wyden-to-secretary-bessent-on-epstein-documentspdf; Benoit et al., JPMorgan Flagged Epstein Suspicions in 2002, Years Earlier Than Known, Wall Street Journal (Oct. 31, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/finance/banking/jpmorgan-flagged-jeffrey-epstein-suspicions-in-2002littlechanged-39f42fd9?reflink=desktopwebshare permalink. Those suspicious activity reports are available to the Justice Department and FBI for review and investigation. Congress and the public have a strong interest in knowing whether the Justice Department or FBI requested those reports as part of their investigation, and if not, why not.

Second, disclosure of the requested records would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of whether the government has taken all appropriate and necessary steps in the investigation of Jeffrey Epstein and his associates. This information is not already in the public domain. Upon receipt of these records, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms, which will enhance the public's understanding of the government's activities.

Third, the request is wholly for non-commercial purposes. Our Senate offices operate as part of the federal government. We seek the requested information not for commercial use, but to aid in congressional oversight, good government, and transparency for the American people.

### **Expedited Processing Request**

Second, it is urgent that the public get answers about the true extent of the federal investigation into Epstein's crimes. Upon receipt of these records, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms.

Third, there is widespread and exceptional media interest in this matter, which, as explained above, raises questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence. *See, e.g.*, Emine Sinmaz, *House Democrat accuses Trump's DoJ of 'gigantic cover-up' over shut Epstein inquiry*, The Guardian (Nov. 4, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/nov/04/jamie-raskin-justice-department-epstein-investigation; Elena Moore, *House Oversight Committee subpoenas the Justice Department for Epstein files*, NPR (Aug. 5, 2025), https://www.npr.org/2025/08/05/nx-s1-5493371/house-oversight-committee-epstein-subpoenas-justice-department.

# **Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records**

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting the search, please construe the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, including any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio or video material of any kind. Any request for "records" includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. Any request for "communications" includes, but is not limited to, emails, letters, memoranda, calendar invitations, text messages, direct messages, and attachments thereto. To the extent that an email is responsive to a request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.

FOIA requires agencies to disclose records to Congress that may otherwise be withheld from the public. 5 U.S.C. § 552(d). If it is your position that any of the requested documents are nonetheless exempted from disclosure requirements, please provide a *Vaughn* index as required by *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F. 2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973); *see also Roth v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 642 F. 3d 1161, 1185 (D.C. Cir. 2011) ("Under our case law, agencies invoking a FOIA exemption must provide a specific, detailed explanation of why the exemption applies to the withheld materials."). FOIA also requires agencies to release "any reasonably segregable portions" of documents that may be partially exempt and to prepare "an index relating any withheld portions to specific FOIA exemptions." *Lykins v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 725 F.2d 1455, 1466 (D.C. Cir. 1984).

We look forward to working with the Department of Justice to ensure the timely and exhaustive disclosure of all non-exempt records responsive to this request. If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production, please do not hesitate to contact us. If the request for a fee waiver is denied in part or in full, please contact our offices immediately. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Whitehouse United States Senator

Ranking Member,

Subcommittee on Federal

Courts, Oversight, Agency

Action, and Federal Rights

Adam B. Schiff

United States Senator

Richard Blumenthal

United States Senator



November 21, 2025

Kevin Krebs Assistant Director, FOIA/Privacy Unit Executive Office for United States Attorneys Department of Justice 175 N Street, NE, Suite 5.400 Washington, DC 20530-0001

#### Dear FOIA Officer:

Implicit in Article I of the Constitution is Congress's power of inquiry, which is an essential component of Congress's legislative and oversight functions. Concurrent with inquiries we have made to the Department of Justice pursuant to our Article I oversight authority, which have not yet received a response, we are submitting a parallel expedited request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and 28 C.F.R. Part 16, for the following records.

# **Requested Records**

Federal anti-money laundering suspicious activity reports are filed with the Treasury Department and available to the Department of Justice. Senate Finance Committee staff review of Treasury documents showed more than 4,725 wire transfers totaling \$1.08 billion involving Jeffrey Epstein and his associates between 2003 and 2019.

- 1. All records reflecting a request from a Justice Department or FBI employee to obtain suspicious activity reports as part of an investigation involving Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell.
- 2. All communications between FinCEN employees and Justice Department or FBI employees regarding any request to obtain suspicious activity reports as part of an investigation involving Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell. Your office is in the best position to identify where responsive records are likely to reside, but at a minimum, a reasonable search for responsive records should include:
  - a. The Department of Justice's Criminal Division;
  - b. Office of the Attorney General;
  - c. Office of the Deputy Attorney General;
  - d. Office of the Associate Attorney General;
  - e. Executive Office for United States Attorneys; and
  - f. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Washington Field Office.
- 3. All records reflecting the number of suspicious activity reports produced to the Department of Justice as part of an investigation into Jeffrey Epstein.

# Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k), a waiver of fees associated with processing this request is appropriate. Disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it (i) would shed light on the operations or activities of the government; (ii) would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of government operations and activities; and (iii) is necessary to carry out Congress's Article I oversight functions, as opposed to for a commercial purpose.

First, disclosure of the requested records would shed light on whether the Department of Justice and FBI took appropriate steps to investigate suspicious activity reports involving Jeffrey Epstein, a convicted sex offender who was also accused of sex trafficking young women and girls. See, e.g., Hubbard et al., Attorney General Pam Bondi faces questions on DOJ probes, Epstein files at Senate hearing, CBS News (Oct. 7, 2025), https://www.cbsnews.com/live-updates/pam-bondi-hearing-senate-judiciary-committeeattorney-general/; Blaise Malley, Sen. Wyden presses DOJ to release Epstein bank reports to Congress, Salon (July 17, 2025), https://www.salon.com/2025/07/17/sen-wyden-presses-doj-to-release-epsteinbank-reports-to-congress/. Recent reporting and Senate Finance Committee review show that thousands of financial transactions involving Jeffrey Epstein and his associates occurred, and several financial institutions filed suspicious activity reports involving Epstein. See, e.g., Letter from Senator Ron Wyden to Treasury Secretary Scott Bessent (Sept. 2, 2025), https://www.finance.senate.gov/ download/letter-from-senator-wyden-to-secretary-bessent-on-epstein-documentspdf; Benoit et al., JPMorgan Flagged Epstein Suspicions in 2002, Years Earlier Than Known, Wall Street Journal (Oct. 31, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/finance/banking/jpmorgan-flagged-jeffrey-epstein-suspicions-in-2002littlechanged-39f42fd9?reflink=desktopwebshare permalink. Those suspicious activity reports are available to the Justice Department and FBI for review and investigation. Congress and the public have a strong interest in knowing whether the Justice Department or FBI requested those reports as part of their investigation, and if not, why not.

Second, disclosure of the requested records would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of whether the government has taken all appropriate and necessary steps in the investigation of Jeffrey Epstein and his associates. This information is not already in the public domain. Upon receipt of these records, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms, which will enhance the public's understanding of the government's activities.

Third, the request is wholly for non-commercial purposes. Our Senate offices operate as part of the federal government. We seek the requested information not for commercial use, but to aid in congressional oversight, good government, and transparency for the American people.

### **Expedited Processing Request**

Second, it is urgent that the public get answers about the true extent of the federal investigation into Epstein's crimes. Upon receipt of these records, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms.

Third, there is widespread and exceptional media interest in this matter, which, as explained above, raises questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence. *See, e.g.*, Emine Sinmaz, *House Democrat accuses Trump's DoJ of 'gigantic cover-up' over shut Epstein inquiry*, The Guardian (Nov. 4, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/nov/04/jamie-raskin-justice-department-epstein-investigation; Elena Moore, *House Oversight Committee subpoenas the Justice Department for Epstein files*, NPR (Aug. 5, 2025), https://www.npr.org/2025/08/05/nx-s1-5493371/house-oversight-committee-epstein-subpoenas-justice-department.

# **Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records**

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting the search, please construe the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, including any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio or video material of any kind. Any request for "records" includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. Any request for "communications" includes, but is not limited to, emails, letters, memoranda, calendar invitations, text messages, direct messages, and attachments thereto. To the extent that an email is responsive to a request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.

FOIA requires agencies to disclose records to Congress that may otherwise be withheld from the public. 5 U.S.C. § 552(d). If it is your position that any of the requested documents are nonetheless exempted from disclosure requirements, please provide a *Vaughn* index as required by *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F. 2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973); *see also Roth v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 642 F. 3d 1161, 1185 (D.C. Cir. 2011) ("Under our case law, agencies invoking a FOIA exemption must provide a specific, detailed explanation of why the exemption applies to the withheld materials."). FOIA also requires agencies to release "any reasonably segregable portions" of documents that may be partially exempt and to prepare "an index relating any withheld portions to specific FOIA exemptions." *Lykins v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 725 F.2d 1455, 1466 (D.C. Cir. 1984).

We look forward to working with the Department of Justice to ensure the timely and exhaustive disclosure of all non-exempt records responsive to this request. If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production, please do not hesitate to contact us. If the request for a fee waiver is denied in part or in full, please contact our offices immediately. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Whitehouse United States Senator

Ranking Member,

Subcommittee on Federal

Courts, Oversight, Agency

Action, and Federal Rights

Adam B. Schiff

United States Senator

Richard Blumenthal

United States Senator



November 21, 2025

Andrew Fiorillo
Chief, Initial Request Staff
Office of the Attorney General
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Associate Attorney General
Department of Justice
441 G St. NW
Washington, DC 20530

#### Dear FOIA Officer:

Implicit in Article I of the Constitution is Congress's power of inquiry, which is an essential component of Congress's legislative and oversight functions. Concurrent with inquiries we have made to the Department of Justice pursuant to our Article I oversight authority, which have not yet received a response, we are submitting a parallel expedited request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and 28 C.F.R. Part 16, for the following records.

### **Requested Records**

Federal anti-money laundering suspicious activity reports are filed with the Treasury Department and available to the Department of Justice. Senate Finance Committee staff review of Treasury documents showed more than 4,725 wire transfers totaling \$1.08 billion involving Jeffrey Epstein and his associates between 2003 and 2019.

- 1. All records reflecting a request from a Justice Department or FBI employee to obtain suspicious activity reports as part of an investigation involving Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell.
- 2. All communications between FinCEN employees and Justice Department or FBI employees regarding any request to obtain suspicious activity reports as part of an investigation involving Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell. Your office is in the best position to identify where responsive records are likely to reside, but at a minimum, a reasonable search for responsive records should include:
  - a. The Department of Justice's Criminal Division;
  - b. Office of the Attorney General;
  - c. Office of the Deputy Attorney General;
  - d. Office of the Associate Attorney General;
  - e. Executive Office for United States Attorneys; and
  - f. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Washington Field Office.
- 3. All records reflecting the number of suspicious activity reports produced to the Department of Justice as part of an investigation into Jeffrey Epstein.

### Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k), a waiver of fees associated with processing this request is appropriate. Disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it (i) would shed light on the operations or activities of the government; (ii) would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of government operations and activities; and (iii) is necessary to carry out Congress's Article I oversight functions, as opposed to for a commercial purpose.

First, disclosure of the requested records would shed light on whether the Department of Justice and FBI took appropriate steps to investigate suspicious activity reports involving Jeffrey Epstein, a convicted sex offender who was also accused of sex trafficking young women and girls. See, e.g., Hubbard et al., Attorney General Pam Bondi faces questions on DOJ probes, Epstein files at Senate hearing, CBS News (Oct. 7, 2025), https://www.cbsnews.com/live-updates/pam-bondi-hearing-senate-judiciary-committeeattorney-general/; Blaise Malley, Sen. Wyden presses DOJ to release Epstein bank reports to Congress, Salon (July 17, 2025), https://www.salon.com/2025/07/17/sen-wyden-presses-doj-to-release-epsteinbank-reports-to-congress/. Recent reporting and Senate Finance Committee review show that thousands of financial transactions involving Jeffrey Epstein and his associates occurred, and several financial institutions filed suspicious activity reports involving Epstein. See, e.g., Letter from Senator Ron Wyden to Treasury Secretary Scott Bessent (Sept. 2, 2025), https://www.finance.senate.gov/ download/letter-from-senator-wyden-to-secretary-bessent-on-epstein-documentspdf; Benoit et al., JPMorgan Flagged Epstein Suspicions in 2002, Years Earlier Than Known, Wall Street Journal (Oct. 31, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/finance/banking/jpmorgan-flagged-jeffrey-epstein-suspicions-in-2002littlechanged-39f42fd9?reflink=desktopwebshare permalink. Those suspicious activity reports are available to the Justice Department and FBI for review and investigation. Congress and the public have a strong interest in knowing whether the Justice Department or FBI requested those reports as part of their investigation, and if not, why not.

Second, disclosure of the requested records would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of whether the government has taken all appropriate and necessary steps in the investigation of Jeffrey Epstein and his associates. This information is not already in the public domain. Upon receipt of these records, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms, which will enhance the public's understanding of the government's activities.

Third, the request is wholly for non-commercial purposes. Our Senate offices operate as part of the federal government. We seek the requested information not for commercial use, but to aid in congressional oversight, good government, and transparency for the American people.

# **Expedited Processing Request**

Second, it is urgent that the public get answers about the true extent of the federal investigation into Epstein's crimes. Upon receipt of these records, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms.

Third, there is widespread and exceptional media interest in this matter, which, as explained above, raises questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence. *See, e.g.*, Emine Sinmaz, *House Democrat accuses Trump's DoJ of 'gigantic cover-up' over shut Epstein inquiry*, The Guardian (Nov. 4, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/nov/04/jamie-raskin-justice-department-epstein-investigation; Elena Moore, *House Oversight Committee subpoenas the Justice Department for Epstein files*, NPR (Aug. 5, 2025), https://www.npr.org/2025/08/05/nx-s1-5493371/house-oversight-committee-epstein-subpoenas-justice-department.

# **Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records**

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting the search, please construe the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, including any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio or video material of any kind. Any request for "records" includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. Any request for "communications" includes, but is not limited to, emails, letters, memoranda, calendar invitations, text messages, direct messages, and attachments thereto. To the extent that an email is responsive to a request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.

FOIA requires agencies to disclose records to Congress that may otherwise be withheld from the public. 5 U.S.C. § 552(d). If it is your position that any of the requested documents are nonetheless exempted from disclosure requirements, please provide a *Vaughn* index as required by *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F. 2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973); *see also Roth v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 642 F. 3d 1161, 1185 (D.C. Cir. 2011) ("Under our case law, agencies invoking a FOIA exemption must provide a specific, detailed explanation of why the exemption applies to the withheld materials."). FOIA also requires agencies to release "any reasonably segregable portions" of documents that may be partially exempt and to prepare "an index relating any withheld portions to specific FOIA exemptions." *Lykins v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 725 F.2d 1455, 1466 (D.C. Cir. 1984).

We look forward to working with the Department of Justice to ensure the timely and exhaustive disclosure of all non-exempt records responsive to this request. If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production, please do not hesitate to contact us. If the request for a fee waiver is denied in part or in full, please contact our offices immediately. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Whitehouse United States Senator

Ranking Member,

Subcommittee on Federal

Courts, Oversight, Agency

Action, and Federal Rights

Adam B. Schiff

United States Senator

Richard Blumenthal

United States Senator