# United States Senate

# WASHINGTON, DC 20510

November 21, 2025

FOIA and Privacy Office Civil Division Department of Justice 1100 L Street, NW, Room 8400 Washington, DC 20035

#### Dear FOIA Officer:

Implicit in Article I of the Constitution is Congress's power of inquiry, which is an essential component of Congress's legislative and oversight functions. Concurrent with inquiries we have made to the Department of Justice pursuant to our Article I oversight authority, which have not yet received a response, we are submitting a parallel expedited request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and 28 C.F.R. Part 16, for the following records.

#### **Requested Records**

Before becoming FBI Director, Kash Patel testified during 2022 before a federal grand jury in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in the matter that became *United States v. Trump*, 9:23-cr-80101 (S.D. Fla.). A final judgment was entered as to all remaining defendants in that case on February 11, 2025. Director Patel testified to the Senate Judiciary Committee in September that his 2022 grand jury testimony in that case was "sealed by the Department of Justice" but that he has since "worked with the Department of Justice" to "publicly" release a transcript of his testimony. Both Director Patel and Attorney General Pam Bondi committed to providing Senator Whitehouse with a copy of the transcript of Patel's testimony when they appeared before the Senate Judiciary Committee.

Please produce within twenty business days the following records:

- 1. All records, court orders, or other directives reflecting the "sealing" of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 2. The docket numbers of any sealed docket entries related to the "sealing" of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 3. All records, court orders, motions, memoranda or other pleadings, or other directives reflecting the public release of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 4. Any unreducted transcript of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony, including but not limited to testimony given on October 13, 2022, and November 3, 2022.
- 5. All written descriptions or summaries of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony, including but not limited to the relevant portions of volume two of the *Final Report on the Special Counsel's Investigations and Prosecutions* dated January 7, 2025.

Your office is in the best position to identify where responsive records are likely to reside, but at a minimum, a reasonable search for responsive records should include:

a. Office of the Attorney General;

- b. Office of the Deputy Attorney General;
- c. Office of the Associate Attorney General;
- d. Office of the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division;
- e. Office of the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division;
- f. Department of Justice, Office of Legislative Affairs;
- g. Executive Office of U.S. Attorneys;
- h. U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia;
- i. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of the Director, Deputy Director, and Associate Deputy Director; and
- j. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of Congressional Affairs.

For all parts of this request, please provide all responsive records from October 13, 2022, through the date the search is conducted.

## Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k), a waiver of fees associated with processing this request is appropriate. Disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it (i) would shed light on the operations or activities of the government; (ii) would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of government operations and activities; and (iii) is necessary to carry out Congress's Article I oversight functions, as opposed to for a commercial purpose.

First, disclosure of the requested records would shed light on what Kash Patel, now FBI Director, told a federal grand jury during an investigation into possible misconduct by the President and why Patel initially invoked his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination. Director Patel has publicly confirmed that he testified in 2022 before a federal grand jury regarding Donald Trump's potential retention of classified documents. Director Patel testified only after invoking his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination and subsequently receiving immunity from prosecution. See Devlin Barrett, Patel Invoked the Fifth Amendment in a Case Tied to Trump, N.Y. Times (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/30/us/politics/patel-took-fifth-amendment.html. Under the Supreme Court's 1968 decision in *Marchetti v. United States*, Director Patel must have shown that his testimony would have put him at "substantial and 'real" risk of criminal prosecution to properly invoke his Fifth Amendment privilege. Congress and the public have a strong interest in knowing the basis for Director Patel's invocation of his Fifth Amendment privilege, including what criminal offense now-Director Patel feared he could have been prosecuted for. Indeed, recognizing Congress's legitimate interest in such information, Director Patel and Attorney General Bondi testified under oath during oversight hearings before the Senate Judiciary Committee that they would provide Senator Whitehouse with a transcript of Director Patel's grand jury testimony.

Furthermore, the requested records would shed light on whether Director Patel misled Congress. Director Patel testified to the Senate Judiciary Committee that he successfully worked with the Justice Department to release his testimony publicly, which he said was "sealed" by court order. Current evidence suggests Director Patel's grand jury testimony reflecting the basis for his Fifth Amendment assertion is not publicly available. *See* Kyle Cheney (@kyledcheney), X.com (Sept. 16, 2025, 10:25 AM), https://x.com/kyledcheney/status/1967957986191773921.

Second, disclosure of the requested records would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of the questions described above. This information is not already in the public domain, despite questioning during oversight hearings and several congressional oversight requests. Upon receipt of these records, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through

publication on our offices' various platforms, which will enhance the public's understanding of the government's activities.

Third, the request is wholly for non-commercial purposes. Our Senate offices operate as part of the federal government. We seek the requested information not for commercial use, but to aid in congressional oversight, good government, and transparency for the American people.

## **Expedited Processing Request**

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(6)(E) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii) and (iv), expedited processing is warranted. Expedition is required when there is a compelling need for the requested information, including where requests involve urgency to inform the public concerning actual or alleged federal government activity or a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence. We certify to be true and correct to the best of our knowledge that circumstances warranting expedited processing exist here.

First, Congress has the constitutional authority to legislate and conduct oversight and is entitled to the expeditious disclosure of information to facilitate those processes. The requested information relates Director Patel's suitability for his post and whether he misled Congress. Timely provision of this information is vital for Congress to perform its constitutionally mandated legislative and oversight functions.

Second, it is urgent that the public know whether Director Patel committed a criminal offense, as well as whether sitting Senate-confirmed officials have misled Congress. Upon receipt of responsive documents, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms.

Third, there is widespread and exceptional media interest in this matter, which, as explained above, raises questions about government officials' integrity that affect public confidence. *See, e.g.*, Devlin Barrett, *Patel Invoked the Fifth Amendment in a Case Tied to Trump*, N.Y. Times (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/30/us/politics/patel-took-fifth-amendment.html; Kyle Cheney, *Kash Patel declines to detail his grand jury testimony in Trump documents case*, Politico (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.politico.com/live-updates/2025/01/30/congress/kash-patel-grand-jury-testimony-00201585; Fox News Staff et al., *Kash Patel, Trump's pick for FBI director, answers questions on Jan. 6, QAnon, and more*, Fox News (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/live-news/president-trump-cabinet-senate-confirmation-hearings-1-30-25.

#### Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting the search, please construe the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, including any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio or video material of any kind. Any request for "records" includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. Any request for "communications" includes, but is not limited to, emails, letters, memoranda, calendar invitations, text messages, direct messages, and attachments thereto. To the extent that an email is responsive to a request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business

conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.

FOIA requires agencies to disclose records to Congress that may otherwise be withheld from the public. 5 U.S.C. § 552(d). If it is your position that any of the requested documents are nonetheless exempted from disclosure requirements, please provide a *Vaughn* index as required by *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F. 2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973); *see also Roth v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 642 F. 3d 1161, 1185 (D.C. Cir. 2011) ("Under our case law, agencies invoking a FOIA exemption must provide a specific, detailed explanation of why the exemption applies to the withheld materials."). FOIA also requires agencies to release "any reasonably segregable portions" of documents that may be partially exempt and to prepare "an index relating any withheld portions to specific FOIA exemptions." *Lykins v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 725 F.2d 1455, 1466 (D.C. Cir. 1984).

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Please send any responsive material being sent by email to Annie\_Owens@judiciary-dem.senate.gov. Please send any material being mailed to Sheldon Whitehouse, 530 Hart Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20510. If it will accelerate release of responsive records, please provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

#### Conclusion

We look forward to working with the Department of Justice to ensure the timely and exhaustive disclosure of all non-exempt records responsive to this request. If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production, please do not hesitate to contact us. If the request for a fee waiver is denied in part or in full, please contact our offices immediately. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Whitehouse

United States Senator

Ranking Member,

Subcommittee on Federal

Courts, Oversight, Agency

Action, and Federal Rights

Adam B. Schiff

United States Senator

Richard Blumenthal



# WASHINGTON, DC 20510

November 21, 2025

Christina Butler Chief, FOIA/PA Unit Criminal Division Department of Justice 950 Constitution Ave, NW Washington, DC 20530

#### Dear FOIA Officer:

Implicit in Article I of the Constitution is Congress's power of inquiry, which is an essential component of Congress's legislative and oversight functions. Concurrent with inquiries we have made to the Department of Justice pursuant to our Article I oversight authority, which have not yet received a response, we are submitting a parallel expedited request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and 28 C.F.R. Part 16, for the following records.

#### **Requested Records**

Before becoming FBI Director, Kash Patel testified during 2022 before a federal grand jury in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in the matter that became *United States v. Trump*, 9:23-cr-80101 (S.D. Fla.). A final judgment was entered as to all remaining defendants in that case on February 11, 2025. Director Patel testified to the Senate Judiciary Committee in September that his 2022 grand jury testimony in that case was "sealed by the Department of Justice" but that he has since "worked with the Department of Justice" to "publicly" release a transcript of his testimony. Both Director Patel and Attorney General Pam Bondi committed to providing Senator Whitehouse with a copy of the transcript of Patel's testimony when they appeared before the Senate Judiciary Committee.

Please produce within twenty business days the following records:

- 1. All records, court orders, or other directives reflecting the "sealing" of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 2. The docket numbers of any sealed docket entries related to the "sealing" of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 3. All records, court orders, motions, memoranda or other pleadings, or other directives reflecting the public release of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 4. Any unredacted transcript of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony, including but not limited to testimony given on October 13, 2022, and November 3, 2022.
- 5. All written descriptions or summaries of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony, including but not limited to the relevant portions of volume two of the *Final Report on the Special Counsel's Investigations and Prosecutions* dated January 7, 2025.

Your office is in the best position to identify where responsive records are likely to reside, but at a minimum, a reasonable search for responsive records should include:

- a. Office of the Attorney General;
- b. Office of the Deputy Attorney General;
- c. Office of the Associate Attorney General;
- d. Office of the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division;
- e. Office of the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division;
- f. Department of Justice, Office of Legislative Affairs;
- g. Executive Office of U.S. Attorneys;
- h. U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia;
- i. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of the Director, Deputy Director, and Associate Deputy Director; and
- j. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of Congressional Affairs.

For all parts of this request, please provide all responsive records from October 13, 2022, through the date the search is conducted.

## Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k), a waiver of fees associated with processing this request is appropriate. Disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it (i) would shed light on the operations or activities of the government; (ii) would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of government operations and activities; and (iii) is necessary to carry out Congress's Article I oversight functions, as opposed to for a commercial purpose.

First, disclosure of the requested records would shed light on what Kash Patel, now FBI Director, told a federal grand jury during an investigation into possible misconduct by the President and why Patel initially invoked his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination. Director Patel has publicly confirmed that he testified in 2022 before a federal grand jury regarding Donald Trump's potential retention of classified documents. Director Patel testified only after invoking his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination and subsequently receiving immunity from prosecution. See Devlin Barrett, Patel Invoked the Fifth Amendment in a Case Tied to Trump, N.Y. Times (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/30/us/politics/patel-took-fifth-amendment.html. Under the Supreme Court's 1968 decision in *Marchetti v. United States*, Director Patel must have shown that his testimony would have put him at "substantial and 'real" risk of criminal prosecution to properly invoke his Fifth Amendment privilege. Congress and the public have a strong interest in knowing the basis for Director Patel's invocation of his Fifth Amendment privilege, including what criminal offense now-Director Patel feared he could have been prosecuted for. Indeed, recognizing Congress's legitimate interest in such information, Director Patel and Attorney General Bondi testified under oath during oversight hearings before the Senate Judiciary Committee that they would provide Senator Whitehouse with a transcript of Director Patel's grand jury testimony.

Furthermore, the requested records would shed light on whether Director Patel misled Congress. Director Patel testified to the Senate Judiciary Committee that he successfully worked with the Justice Department to release his testimony publicly, which he said was "sealed" by court order. Current evidence suggests Director Patel's grand jury testimony reflecting the basis for his Fifth Amendment assertion is not publicly available. *See* Kyle Cheney (@kyledcheney), X.com (Sept. 16, 2025, 10:25 AM), https://x.com/kyledcheney/status/1967957986191773921.

Second, disclosure of the requested records would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of the questions described above. This information is not already in the public domain, despite questioning during oversight hearings and several congressional oversight requests. Upon receipt

of these records, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms, which will enhance the public's understanding of the government's activities.

Third, the request is wholly for non-commercial purposes. Our Senate offices operate as part of the federal government. We seek the requested information not for commercial use, but to aid in congressional oversight, good government, and transparency for the American people.

# **Expedited Processing Request**

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(6)(E) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii) and (iv), expedited processing is warranted. Expedition is required when there is a compelling need for the requested information, including where requests involve urgency to inform the public concerning actual or alleged federal government activity or a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence. We certify to be true and correct to the best of our knowledge that circumstances warranting expedited processing exist here.

First, Congress has the constitutional authority to legislate and conduct oversight and is entitled to the expeditious disclosure of information to facilitate those processes. The requested information relates Director Patel's suitability for his post and whether he misled Congress. Timely provision of this information is vital for Congress to perform its constitutionally mandated legislative and oversight functions.

Second, it is urgent that the public know whether Director Patel committed a criminal offense, as well as whether sitting Senate-confirmed officials have misled Congress. Upon receipt of responsive documents, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms.

Third, there is widespread and exceptional media interest in this matter, which, as explained above, raises questions about government officials' integrity that affect public confidence. *See, e.g.*, Devlin Barrett, *Patel Invoked the Fifth Amendment in a Case Tied to Trump*, N.Y. Times (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/30/us/politics/patel-took-fifth-amendment.html; Kyle Cheney, *Kash Patel declines to detail his grand jury testimony in Trump documents case*, Politico (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.politico.com/live-updates/2025/01/30/congress/kash-patel-grand-jury-testimony-00201585; Fox News Staff et al., *Kash Patel, Trump's pick for FBI director, answers questions on Jan. 6, QAnon, and more*, Fox News (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/live-news/president-trump-cabinet-senate-confirmation-hearings-1-30-25.

#### Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting the search, please construe the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, including any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio or video material of any kind. Any request for "records" includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. Any request for "communications" includes, but is not limited to, emails, letters, memoranda, calendar invitations, text messages, direct messages, and attachments thereto. To the extent that an email is responsive to a request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal

custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.

FOIA requires agencies to disclose records to Congress that may otherwise be withheld from the public. 5 U.S.C. § 552(d). If it is your position that any of the requested documents are nonetheless exempted from disclosure requirements, please provide a *Vaughn* index as required by *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F. 2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973); *see also Roth v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 642 F. 3d 1161, 1185 (D.C. Cir. 2011) ("Under our case law, agencies invoking a FOIA exemption must provide a specific, detailed explanation of why the exemption applies to the withheld materials."). FOIA also requires agencies to release "any reasonably segregable portions" of documents that may be partially exempt and to prepare "an index relating any withheld portions to specific FOIA exemptions." *Lykins v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 725 F.2d 1455, 1466 (D.C. Cir. 1984).

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Please send any responsive material being sent by email to Annie\_Owens@judiciary-dem.senate.gov. Please send any material being mailed to Sheldon Whitehouse, 530 Hart Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20510. If it will accelerate release of responsive records, please provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

#### Conclusion

We look forward to working with the Department of Justice to ensure the timely and exhaustive disclosure of all non-exempt records responsive to this request. If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production, please do not hesitate to contact us. If the request for a fee waiver is denied in part or in full, please contact our offices immediately. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Whitehouse United States Senator

Ranking Member,

Subcommittee on Federal

Courts, Oversight, Agency

Action, and Federal Rights

Adam B. Schiff

United States Senator

Richard Blumenthal



# WASHINGTON, DC 20510

November 21, 2025

Kevin Krebs Assistant Director, FOIA/Privacy Unit Executive Office for United States Attorneys 175 N Street, NE, Suite 5.400 Washington, DC 20530-0001

#### Dear FOIA Officer:

Implicit in Article I of the Constitution is Congress's power of inquiry, which is an essential component of Congress's legislative and oversight functions. Concurrent with inquiries we have made to the Department of Justice pursuant to our Article I oversight authority, which have not yet received a response, we are submitting a parallel expedited request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and 28 C.F.R. Part 16, for the following records.

#### **Requested Records**

Before becoming FBI Director, Kash Patel testified during 2022 before a federal grand jury in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in the matter that became *United States v. Trump*, 9:23-cr-80101 (S.D. Fla.). A final judgment was entered as to all remaining defendants in that case on February 11, 2025. Director Patel testified to the Senate Judiciary Committee in September that his 2022 grand jury testimony in that case was "sealed by the Department of Justice" but that he has since "worked with the Department of Justice" to "publicly" release a transcript of his testimony. Both Director Patel and Attorney General Pam Bondi committed to providing Senator Whitehouse with a copy of the transcript of Patel's testimony when they appeared before the Senate Judiciary Committee.

Please produce within twenty business days the following records:

- 1. All records, court orders, or other directives reflecting the "sealing" of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 2. The docket numbers of any sealed docket entries related to the "sealing" of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 3. All records, court orders, motions, memoranda or other pleadings, or other directives reflecting the public release of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 4. Any unredacted transcript of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony, including but not limited to testimony given on October 13, 2022, and November 3, 2022.
- 5. All written descriptions or summaries of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony, including but not limited to the relevant portions of volume two of the *Final Report on the Special Counsel's Investigations and Prosecutions* dated January 7, 2025.

Your office is in the best position to identify where responsive records are likely to reside, but at a minimum, a reasonable search for responsive records should include:

a. Office of the Attorney General;

- b. Office of the Deputy Attorney General;
- c. Office of the Associate Attorney General;
- d. Office of the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division;
- e. Office of the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division;
- f. Department of Justice, Office of Legislative Affairs;
- g. Executive Office of U.S. Attorneys;
- h. U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia;
- i. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of the Director, Deputy Director, and Associate Deputy Director; and
- j. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of Congressional Affairs.

For all parts of this request, please provide all responsive records from October 13, 2022, through the date the search is conducted.

## Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k), a waiver of fees associated with processing this request is appropriate. Disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it (i) would shed light on the operations or activities of the government; (ii) would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of government operations and activities; and (iii) is necessary to carry out Congress's Article I oversight functions, as opposed to for a commercial purpose.

First, disclosure of the requested records would shed light on what Kash Patel, now FBI Director, told a federal grand jury during an investigation into possible misconduct by the President and why Patel initially invoked his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination. Director Patel has publicly confirmed that he testified in 2022 before a federal grand jury regarding Donald Trump's potential retention of classified documents. Director Patel testified only after invoking his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination and subsequently receiving immunity from prosecution. See Devlin Barrett, Patel Invoked the Fifth Amendment in a Case Tied to Trump, N.Y. Times (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/30/us/politics/patel-took-fifth-amendment.html. Under the Supreme Court's 1968 decision in *Marchetti v. United States*, Director Patel must have shown that his testimony would have put him at "substantial and 'real" risk of criminal prosecution to properly invoke his Fifth Amendment privilege. Congress and the public have a strong interest in knowing the basis for Director Patel's invocation of his Fifth Amendment privilege, including what criminal offense now-Director Patel feared he could have been prosecuted for. Indeed, recognizing Congress's legitimate interest in such information, Director Patel and Attorney General Bondi testified under oath during oversight hearings before the Senate Judiciary Committee that they would provide Senator Whitehouse with a transcript of Director Patel's grand jury testimony.

Furthermore, the requested records would shed light on whether Director Patel misled Congress. Director Patel testified to the Senate Judiciary Committee that he successfully worked with the Justice Department to release his testimony publicly, which he said was "sealed" by court order. Current evidence suggests Director Patel's grand jury testimony reflecting the basis for his Fifth Amendment assertion is not publicly available. *See* Kyle Cheney (@kyledcheney), X.com (Sept. 16, 2025, 10:25 AM), https://x.com/kyledcheney/status/1967957986191773921.

Second, disclosure of the requested records would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of the questions described above. This information is not already in the public domain, despite questioning during oversight hearings and several congressional oversight requests. Upon receipt of these records, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through

publication on our offices' various platforms, which will enhance the public's understanding of the government's activities.

Third, the request is wholly for non-commercial purposes. Our Senate offices operate as part of the federal government. We seek the requested information not for commercial use, but to aid in congressional oversight, good government, and transparency for the American people.

## **Expedited Processing Request**

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(6)(E) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii) and (iv), expedited processing is warranted. Expedition is required when there is a compelling need for the requested information, including where requests involve urgency to inform the public concerning actual or alleged federal government activity or a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence. We certify to be true and correct to the best of our knowledge that circumstances warranting expedited processing exist here.

First, Congress has the constitutional authority to legislate and conduct oversight and is entitled to the expeditious disclosure of information to facilitate those processes. The requested information relates Director Patel's suitability for his post and whether he misled Congress. Timely provision of this information is vital for Congress to perform its constitutionally mandated legislative and oversight functions.

Second, it is urgent that the public know whether Director Patel committed a criminal offense, as well as whether sitting Senate-confirmed officials have misled Congress. Upon receipt of responsive documents, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms.

Third, there is widespread and exceptional media interest in this matter, which, as explained above, raises questions about government officials' integrity that affect public confidence. *See, e.g.*, Devlin Barrett, *Patel Invoked the Fifth Amendment in a Case Tied to Trump*, N.Y. Times (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/30/us/politics/patel-took-fifth-amendment.html; Kyle Cheney, *Kash Patel declines to detail his grand jury testimony in Trump documents case*, Politico (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.politico.com/live-updates/2025/01/30/congress/kash-patel-grand-jury-testimony-00201585; Fox News Staff et al., *Kash Patel, Trump's pick for FBI director, answers questions on Jan. 6, QAnon, and more*, Fox News (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/live-news/president-trump-cabinet-senate-confirmation-hearings-1-30-25.

#### Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting the search, please construe the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, including any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio or video material of any kind. Any request for "records" includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. Any request for "communications" includes, but is not limited to, emails, letters, memoranda, calendar invitations, text messages, direct messages, and attachments thereto. To the extent that an email is responsive to a request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business

conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.

FOIA requires agencies to disclose records to Congress that may otherwise be withheld from the public. 5 U.S.C. § 552(d). If it is your position that any of the requested documents are nonetheless exempted from disclosure requirements, please provide a *Vaughn* index as required by *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F. 2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973); *see also Roth v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 642 F. 3d 1161, 1185 (D.C. Cir. 2011) ("Under our case law, agencies invoking a FOIA exemption must provide a specific, detailed explanation of why the exemption applies to the withheld materials."). FOIA also requires agencies to release "any reasonably segregable portions" of documents that may be partially exempt and to prepare "an index relating any withheld portions to specific FOIA exemptions." *Lykins v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 725 F.2d 1455, 1466 (D.C. Cir. 1984).

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Please send any responsive material being sent by email to Annie\_Owens@judiciary-dem.senate.gov. Please send any material being mailed to Sheldon Whitehouse, 530 Hart Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20510. If it will accelerate release of responsive records, please provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

#### Conclusion

We look forward to working with the Department of Justice to ensure the timely and exhaustive disclosure of all non-exempt records responsive to this request. If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production, please do not hesitate to contact us. If the request for a fee waiver is denied in part or in full, please contact our offices immediately. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Whitehouse

United States Senator

Ranking Member,

Subcommittee on Federal

Courts, Oversight, Agency

Action, and Federal Rights

Adam B. Schiff

United States Senator

Richard Blumenthal



# WASHINGTON, DC 20510

November 21, 2025

Michael G. Seidel Section Chief Record/Information Dissemination Section Federal Bureau of Investigation 200 Constitution Drive Winchester, VA 22602

#### Dear FOIA Officer:

Implicit in Article I of the Constitution is Congress's power of inquiry, which is an essential component of Congress's legislative and oversight functions. Concurrent with inquiries we have made to the Department of Justice pursuant to our Article I oversight authority, which have not yet received a response, we are submitting a parallel expedited request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and 28 C.F.R. Part 16, for the following records.

#### **Requested Records**

Before becoming FBI Director, Kash Patel testified during 2022 before a federal grand jury in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in the matter that became *United States v. Trump*, 9:23-cr-80101 (S.D. Fla.). A final judgment was entered as to all remaining defendants in that case on February 11, 2025. Director Patel testified to the Senate Judiciary Committee in September that his 2022 grand jury testimony in that case was "sealed by the Department of Justice" but that he has since "worked with the Department of Justice" to "publicly" release a transcript of his testimony. Both Director Patel and Attorney General Pam Bondi committed to providing Senator Whitehouse with a copy of the transcript of Patel's testimony when they appeared before the Senate Judiciary Committee.

Please produce within twenty business days the following records:

- 1. All records, court orders, or other directives reflecting the "sealing" of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 2. The docket numbers of any sealed docket entries related to the "sealing" of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 3. All records, court orders, motions, memoranda or other pleadings, or other directives reflecting the public release of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 4. Any unreducted transcript of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony, including but not limited to testimony given on October 13, 2022, and November 3, 2022.
- 5. All written descriptions or summaries of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony, including but not limited to the relevant portions of volume two of the *Final Report on the Special Counsel's Investigations and Prosecutions* dated January 7, 2025.

Your office is in the best position to identify where responsive records are likely to reside, but at a minimum, a reasonable search for responsive records should include:

- a. Office of the Attorney General;
- b. Office of the Deputy Attorney General;
- c. Office of the Associate Attorney General;
- d. Office of the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division;
- e. Office of the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division;
- f. Department of Justice, Office of Legislative Affairs;
- g. Executive Office of U.S. Attorneys;
- h. U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia;
- i. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of the Director, Deputy Director, and Associate Deputy Director; and
- j. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of Congressional Affairs.

For all parts of this request, please provide all responsive records from October 13, 2022, through the date the search is conducted.

## Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k), a waiver of fees associated with processing this request is appropriate. Disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it (i) would shed light on the operations or activities of the government; (ii) would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of government operations and activities; and (iii) is necessary to carry out Congress's Article I oversight functions, as opposed to for a commercial purpose.

First, disclosure of the requested records would shed light on what Kash Patel, now FBI Director, told a federal grand jury during an investigation into possible misconduct by the President and why Patel initially invoked his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination. Director Patel has publicly confirmed that he testified in 2022 before a federal grand jury regarding Donald Trump's potential retention of classified documents. Director Patel testified only after invoking his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination and subsequently receiving immunity from prosecution. See Devlin Barrett, Patel Invoked the Fifth Amendment in a Case Tied to Trump, N.Y. Times (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/30/us/politics/patel-took-fifth-amendment.html. Under the Supreme Court's 1968 decision in *Marchetti v. United States*, Director Patel must have shown that his testimony would have put him at "substantial and 'real" risk of criminal prosecution to properly invoke his Fifth Amendment privilege. Congress and the public have a strong interest in knowing the basis for Director Patel's invocation of his Fifth Amendment privilege, including what criminal offense now-Director Patel feared he could have been prosecuted for. Indeed, recognizing Congress's legitimate interest in such information, Director Patel and Attorney General Bondi testified under oath during oversight hearings before the Senate Judiciary Committee that they would provide Senator Whitehouse with a transcript of Director Patel's grand jury testimony.

Furthermore, the requested records would shed light on whether Director Patel misled Congress. Director Patel testified to the Senate Judiciary Committee that he successfully worked with the Justice Department to release his testimony publicly, which he said was "sealed" by court order. Current evidence suggests Director Patel's grand jury testimony reflecting the basis for his Fifth Amendment assertion is not publicly available. *See* Kyle Cheney (@kyledcheney), X.com (Sept. 16, 2025, 10:25 AM), https://x.com/kyledcheney/status/1967957986191773921.

Second, disclosure of the requested records would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of the questions described above. This information is not already in the public domain, despite questioning during oversight hearings and several congressional oversight requests. Upon receipt

of these records, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms, which will enhance the public's understanding of the government's activities.

Third, the request is wholly for non-commercial purposes. Our Senate offices operate as part of the federal government. We seek the requested information not for commercial use, but to aid in congressional oversight, good government, and transparency for the American people.

#### **Expedited Processing Request**

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(6)(E) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii) and (iv), expedited processing is warranted. Expedition is required when there is a compelling need for the requested information, including where requests involve urgency to inform the public concerning actual or alleged federal government activity or a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence. We certify to be true and correct to the best of our knowledge that circumstances warranting expedited processing exist here.

First, Congress has the constitutional authority to legislate and conduct oversight and is entitled to the expeditious disclosure of information to facilitate those processes. The requested information relates Director Patel's suitability for his post and whether he misled Congress. Timely provision of this information is vital for Congress to perform its constitutionally mandated legislative and oversight functions.

Second, it is urgent that the public know whether Director Patel committed a criminal offense, as well as whether sitting Senate-confirmed officials have misled Congress. Upon receipt of responsive documents, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms.

Third, there is widespread and exceptional media interest in this matter, which, as explained above, raises questions about government officials' integrity that affect public confidence. *See, e.g.*, Devlin Barrett, *Patel Invoked the Fifth Amendment in a Case Tied to Trump*, N.Y. Times (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/30/us/politics/patel-took-fifth-amendment.html; Kyle Cheney, *Kash Patel declines to detail his grand jury testimony in Trump documents case*, Politico (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.politico.com/live-updates/2025/01/30/congress/kash-patel-grand-jury-testimony-00201585; Fox News Staff et al., *Kash Patel, Trump's pick for FBI director, answers questions on Jan. 6, QAnon, and more*, Fox News (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/live-news/president-trump-cabinet-senate-confirmation-hearings-1-30-25.

#### Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting the search, please construe the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, including any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio or video material of any kind. Any request for "records" includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. Any request for "communications" includes, but is not limited to, emails, letters, memoranda, calendar invitations, text messages, direct messages, and attachments thereto. To the extent that an email is responsive to a request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal

custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.

FOIA requires agencies to disclose records to Congress that may otherwise be withheld from the public. 5 U.S.C. § 552(d). If it is your position that any of the requested documents are nonetheless exempted from disclosure requirements, please provide a *Vaughn* index as required by *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F. 2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973); *see also Roth v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 642 F. 3d 1161, 1185 (D.C. Cir. 2011) ("Under our case law, agencies invoking a FOIA exemption must provide a specific, detailed explanation of why the exemption applies to the withheld materials."). FOIA also requires agencies to release "any reasonably segregable portions" of documents that may be partially exempt and to prepare "an index relating any withheld portions to specific FOIA exemptions." *Lykins v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 725 F.2d 1455, 1466 (D.C. Cir. 1984).

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Please send any responsive material being sent by email to Annie\_Owens@judiciary-dem.senate.gov. Please send any material being mailed to Sheldon Whitehouse, 530 Hart Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20510. If it will accelerate release of responsive records, please provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

#### Conclusion

We look forward to working with the Department of Justice to ensure the timely and exhaustive disclosure of all non-exempt records responsive to this request. If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production, please do not hesitate to contact us. If the request for a fee waiver is denied in part or in full, please contact our offices immediately. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Whitehouse

United States Senator

Ranking Member,

Subcommittee on Federal

Courts, Oversight, Agency

Action, and Federal Rights

Adam B. Schiff

**United States Senator** 

Richard Blumenthal



# WASHINGTON, DC 20510

November 21, 2025

Andrew Fiorillo
Chief, Initial Request Staff
Office of Information Policy
Office of the Attorney General
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Associate Attorney General
Department of Justice
441 G St. NW
Washington, DC 20530

#### Dear FOIA Officer:

Implicit in Article I of the Constitution is Congress's power of inquiry, which is an essential component of Congress's legislative and oversight functions. Concurrent with inquiries we have made to the Department of Justice pursuant to our Article I oversight authority, which have not yet received a response, we are submitting a parallel expedited request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and 28 C.F.R. Part 16, for the following records.

## **Requested Records**

Before becoming FBI Director, Kash Patel testified during 2022 before a federal grand jury in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in the matter that became *United States v. Trump*, 9:23-cr-80101 (S.D. Fla.). A final judgment was entered as to all remaining defendants in that case on February 11, 2025. Director Patel testified to the Senate Judiciary Committee in September that his 2022 grand jury testimony in that case was "sealed by the Department of Justice" but that he has since "worked with the Department of Justice" to "publicly" release a transcript of his testimony. Both Director Patel and Attorney General Pam Bondi committed to providing Senator Whitehouse with a copy of the transcript of Patel's testimony when they appeared before the Senate Judiciary Committee.

Please produce within twenty business days the following records:

- 1. All records, court orders, or other directives reflecting the "sealing" of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 2. The docket numbers of any sealed docket entries related to the "sealing" of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 3. All records, court orders, motions, memoranda or other pleadings, or other directives reflecting the public release of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony.
- 4. Any unreducted transcript of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony, including but not limited to testimony given on October 13, 2022, and November 3, 2022.
- 5. All written descriptions or summaries of Patel's 2022 grand jury testimony, including but not limited to the relevant portions of volume two of the *Final Report on the Special Counsel's Investigations and Prosecutions* dated January 7, 2025.

Your office is in the best position to identify where responsive records are likely to reside, but at a minimum, a reasonable search for responsive records should include:

- a. Office of the Attorney General;
- b. Office of the Deputy Attorney General;
- c. Office of the Associate Attorney General;
- d. Office of the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division;
- e. Office of the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division;
- f. Department of Justice, Office of Legislative Affairs;
- g. Executive Office of U.S. Attorneys;
- h. U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia;
- i. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of the Director, Deputy Director, and Associate Deputy Director; and
- i. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of Congressional Affairs.

For all parts of this request, please provide all responsive records from October 13, 2022, through the date the search is conducted.

# Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k), a waiver of fees associated with processing this request is appropriate. Disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it (i) would shed light on the operations or activities of the government; (ii) would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of government operations and activities; and (iii) is necessary to carry out Congress's Article I oversight functions, as opposed to for a commercial purpose.

First, disclosure of the requested records would shed light on what Kash Patel, now FBI Director, told a federal grand jury during an investigation into possible misconduct by the President and why Patel initially invoked his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination. Director Patel has publicly confirmed that he testified in 2022 before a federal grand jury regarding Donald Trump's potential retention of classified documents. Director Patel testified only after invoking his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination and subsequently receiving immunity from prosecution. See Devlin Barrett, Patel Invoked the Fifth Amendment in a Case Tied to Trump, N.Y. Times (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/30/us/politics/patel-took-fifth-amendment.html. Under the Supreme Court's 1968 decision in *Marchetti v. United States*, Director Patel must have shown that his testimony would have put him at "substantial and 'real" risk of criminal prosecution to properly invoke his Fifth Amendment privilege. Congress and the public have a strong interest in knowing the basis for Director Patel's invocation of his Fifth Amendment privilege, including what criminal offense now-Director Patel feared he could have been prosecuted for. Indeed, recognizing Congress's legitimate interest in such information, Director Patel and Attorney General Bondi testified under oath during oversight hearings before the Senate Judiciary Committee that they would provide Senator Whitehouse with a transcript of Director Patel's grand jury testimony.

Furthermore, the requested records would shed light on whether Director Patel misled Congress. Director Patel testified to the Senate Judiciary Committee that he successfully worked with the Justice Department to release his testimony publicly, which he said was "sealed" by court order. Current evidence suggests Director Patel's grand jury testimony reflecting the basis for his Fifth Amendment assertion is not publicly available. *See* Kyle Cheney (@kyledcheney), X.com (Sept. 16, 2025, 10:25 AM), https://x.com/kyledcheney/status/1967957986191773921.

Second, disclosure of the requested records would contribute significantly to the general public's understanding of the questions described above. This information is not already in the public domain, despite questioning during oversight hearings and several congressional oversight requests. Upon receipt of these records, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms, which will enhance the public's understanding of the government's activities.

Third, the request is wholly for non-commercial purposes. Our Senate offices operate as part of the federal government. We seek the requested information not for commercial use, but to aid in congressional oversight, good government, and transparency for the American people.

#### **Expedited Processing Request**

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(6)(E) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii) and (iv), expedited processing is warranted. Expedition is required when there is a compelling need for the requested information, including where requests involve urgency to inform the public concerning actual or alleged federal government activity or a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence. We certify to be true and correct to the best of our knowledge that circumstances warranting expedited processing exist here.

First, Congress has the constitutional authority to legislate and conduct oversight and is entitled to the expeditious disclosure of information to facilitate those processes. The requested information relates Director Patel's suitability for his post and whether he misled Congress. Timely provision of this information is vital for Congress to perform its constitutionally mandated legislative and oversight functions.

Second, it is urgent that the public know whether Director Patel committed a criminal offense, as well as whether sitting Senate-confirmed officials have misled Congress. Upon receipt of responsive documents, we intend to disseminate pertinent information along with our analysis through publication on our offices' various platforms.

Third, there is widespread and exceptional media interest in this matter, which, as explained above, raises questions about government officials' integrity that affect public confidence. *See, e.g.*, Devlin Barrett, *Patel Invoked the Fifth Amendment in a Case Tied to Trump*, N.Y. Times (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/30/us/politics/patel-took-fifth-amendment.html; Kyle Cheney, *Kash Patel declines to detail his grand jury testimony in Trump documents case*, Politico (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.politico.com/live-updates/2025/01/30/congress/kash-patel-grand-jury-testimony-00201585; Fox News Staff et al., *Kash Patel, Trump's pick for FBI director, answers questions on Jan. 6, QAnon, and more*, Fox News (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/live-news/president-trump-cabinet-senate-confirmation-hearings-1-30-25.

## **Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records**

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting the search, please construe the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, including any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio or video material of any kind. Any request for "records" includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. Any request for "communications" includes, but is not limited to, emails, letters, memoranda, calendar invitations, text messages, direct messages, and attachments thereto. To the extent that an email is responsive to a request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.

FOIA requires agencies to disclose records to Congress that may otherwise be withheld from the public. 5 U.S.C. § 552(d). If it is your position that any of the requested documents are nonetheless exempted from disclosure requirements, please provide a *Vaughn* index as required by *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F. 2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973); *see also Roth v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 642 F. 3d 1161, 1185 (D.C. Cir. 2011) ("Under our case law, agencies invoking a FOIA exemption must provide a specific, detailed explanation of why the exemption applies to the withheld materials."). FOIA also requires agencies to release "any reasonably segregable portions" of documents that may be partially exempt and to prepare "an index relating any withheld portions to specific FOIA exemptions." *Lykins v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 725 F.2d 1455, 1466 (D.C. Cir. 1984).

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Please send any responsive material being sent by email to Annie\_Owens@judiciary-dem.senate.gov. Please send any material being mailed to Sheldon Whitehouse, 530 Hart Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20510. If it will accelerate release of responsive records, please provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

#### **Conclusion**

We look forward to working with the Department of Justice to ensure the timely and exhaustive disclosure of all non-exempt records responsive to this request. If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production, please do not hesitate to contact us. If the request for a fee waiver is denied in part or in full, please contact our offices immediately. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Whitehouse United States Senator

Ranking Member,

Subcommittee on Federal

Courts, Oversight, Agency

Action, and Federal Rights

Richard Blumenthal

Adam B. Schiff